PESHUM : Jurnal Pendidikan, Sosial dan Humaniora
Vol.5, No.1, Desember 2025

Digital Audit: Technological Innovation and Its Impact on Audit Quality

Stephanie Olivia br. Sihaloho[1], Cicilya Evangelista Yunani Purba[2], Iskandar Muda[3]
Program studi Akuntansi, Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis dan Universitas Sumatera Utara, Indonesia
E-mail: stefanieolivia26@gmail.com[1], cicilyapurba11@gmail.com[2]

Article History:
Received: 06 Oktober 2025
Revised: 02 November 2025
Accepted: 19 November 2025

Keywords: Digital Audit, Advanced Technologies, Innovation Diffusion Theory, Audit Quality.

Abstract: *In essence, human beings are social creatures who continuously develop over time, producing various innovations that emerge from creative ideas. Within this scope, we analyze the adoption of digital audit technologies using the Innovation Diffusion Theory, identifying key factors that influence their acceptance and integration into audit quality. This effort aims to optimize the problem-solving process as a sustainable solution for increasing organizational performance and accountability. This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to investigate the theme and confirm validity and reliability of the findings. The importance of auditors in justify professional competencies, adopting technological capabilities, and engaging in continuous development to remain relevant in a digital environment. This manuscript explains the progression of advanced technologies and their critical role in reshaping modern auditing practices. The study's results reveal how the perceived attributes of innovation namely relative advantage, compatibility, trialability, complexity, and observability contribute to variations in audit quality and organizational trust. Further investigations are recommended to explore the ethical dimensions associated with automation in auditing, particularly in areas such as transparency, accountability, and independence. This study explores a highly relevant issue by analyzing how auditing practices are evolving in the context of digital transformation. Digital audit represents a new way of conducting assurance engagements using advanced technologies like AI, data analytics, and blockchain. Unlike traditional audits, it allows faster, automated, and data-driven processes. This focus recognizes the quickly changing business environment and the increasing significance of technological innovation in contemporary audit procedures.*

INTRODUCTION

In today's rapidly evolving business landscape, technological innovation has become a driving force behind organizational transformation. The audit profession, traditionally happened on manual procedures and retrospective analysis, is now experiencing through the adoption of digital technologies. Tools such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, data analytics, and automation are reshaping how audits are conducted, offering new possibilities for accuracy, efficiency, and real-time results. This dynamic approach enables entities to perform regular self-assessments, modify their risk scores, and adjust controls in response to emerging threats and vulnerabilities (Lowe, et al., 2017: Salek et al., 2022). In the digital transformation era, auditing must adapt to integrating digital technologies that enhance efficiency, flexibility, and innovation within organizations (Liew, O'Leary, Perdana, & Wang, 2022). With tasks carried out periodically and across various locations, there is a risk of errors and auditor fatigue as the audit process progresses. The practice typically involved conducting periodic assessments, usually on an annual or semi-annual basis (Dagilienė & Klovienė, 2019).

Auditors require the adoption of technologies because the increasing complexity of organizational operations and the exponential growth of financial data demand more efficient and accurate audit processes. Technology adoption in auditing is considered to be an essential component to assess the efficacy and efficiency of audit tasks (Pedrosa et al., 2020; Mansour, 2016). From the perspective of Diffusion of Innovation Theory (DOI), adoption is also motivated by the relative advantage these tools provide, their compatibility with evolving audit standards, and the observable benefits such as improved transparency and efficiency.

Furthermore, regulators and stakeholders increasingly expect technology-driven audits to ensure compliance and accountability, making digital adoption not only a strategic advantage but an essential requirement for the profession's sustainability in the digital era. However, failing to adopt technology can create serious challenges. Auditors using only manual methods are more likely to make errors, work more slowly, and find it difficult to handle large amounts of data. This may lower audit quality, reduce trust from stakeholders, and make it harder to meet regulations. Companies that avoid digital tools may also lose competitiveness as clients and regulators expect technology-based audits. However, the adoption of digital technologies offers an improvement in this case. Tools such as data analytics, artificial intelligence, and blockchain enable auditors to process vast datasets efficiently, identify anomalies, and detect irregularities in real time. For example, blockchain ensures immutable transaction records, while AI-driven analytics can highlight unusual patterns that warrant further investigation.

According to the Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) Theory, technology adoption in auditing depends on five factors: **relative advantage**, **compatibility**, **complexity**, **trialability**, and **observability**. Digital tools improve accuracy, speed, and real-time monitoring, must align with existing standards, be easy to use, allow testing before full use, and show clear benefits. Their adoption is essential to enhance the efficiency, accuracy, and relevance of audits in a complex business environment. According to Davis (1989), the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) emphasizes that adoption is influenced by perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use. In the context of auditing, technologies such as AI, blockchain, and data analytics are adopted when they are viewed as useful in supporting real-time analysis, anomaly detection, and improved decision-making. According to Raphael (2017), chief innovation officer at Deloitte, New York, the implementation of innovations, including modern digital technologies, is leading to 'a transformed audit process' where, for example, audit procedures are 'a direct consequence of available technologies' (Issa et al., 2016).

PESHUM : Jurnal Pendidikan, Sosial dan Humaniora
Vol.5, No.1, Desember 2025

LITERATURE REVIEW

Digital Transformation In Auditing

The auditing field is changing a lot because of new technologies, rules, and the need for better audit results. Old ways of doing audits, which relied on checking only some data and looking back after the fact, don't work well anymore with the huge amounts of financial and business information we deal with today (Appelbaum & Nehmer, 2019; Warren et al., 2020).

Digital Audit and Audit Quality

One big discussion in the field of auditing is about how much digital technology helps improve the quality of audits. In the past, audit quality was connected to things like how correct financial statements are, how dependable the evidence is, how quickly audit reports are delivered, and how well auditors can find fraud or mistakes *(Manita et al., 2020)*.

Challenges in Digital Audit Adoption

Even though digital tools bring a lot of advantages to the auditing field, using them isn't easy for everyone. There are many difficulties that come with adopting these technologies, and these problems can be technical, related to how companies are organized, or tied to rules, costs, and moral considerations.

Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) Theory and Its Application to Digital Audit Adoption

The use of digital audit tools can be closely studied using the Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) theory, which was created by Everett M. Rogers in 1962 and updated in 2003. This theory looks at how new ideas spread through groups of people over time.

Ethical Consideration in Digital Audit

Adding new digital tools like artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, robotic process automation, and cloud computing to auditing changes how the work is done and brings up big ethical questions. Auditors play an important role in keeping financial markets honest and trustworthy, so using these digital tools must follow key ethics rules like being honest, fair, keeping secrets, and having good skills, as set by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA).

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This study adopts a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) guided by the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) framework. The PRISMA model ensures transparency and replicability by documenting each stage of the review process: identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion. The goal is to synthesize recent empirical and conceptual studies on digital audit innovations and their impact on audit quality through the lens of Rogers' Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) theory.

The review is structured to address five research questions (RQ):

RQ1: What types of digital technologies are currently used in audit practices?

RQ2: How do the attributes of innovation—relative advantage, compatibility, trialability, complexity, and observability—affect the adoption of digital audit tools?

RQ3: In what ways does the adoption of digital audit technologies improve audit quality (e.g., accuracy, efficiency, risk detection)?

RQ4: What challenges do auditors face in adopting digital audit innovations? RQ5: What ethical

considerations arise from the automation of audit processes?

Data Sources and Search Strategy

The primary database used was Scopus and journals from Google Scholar, selected due to its extensive coverage of high-quality peer-reviewed publications in accounting, auditing, and information systems. Searches were conducted in February 2025.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria:

- Published between 2019 and 2025.
- Indexed in Scopus.
- Peer-reviewed journal articles.
- Directly related to digital audit technologies, audit quality, or DOI theory.

Exclusion criteria:

- Conference proceedings, books, or non-peer-reviewed sources.
- Articles outside accounting and auditing domains.
- Studies not available in full-text.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

Several digital technologies are now integrated into audit practices. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) process large datasets, detect anomalies, and predict risk areas, enabling continuous monitoring (Issa et al., 2020; Cao & Porter, 2019; Zhou et al., 2020). Blockchain and smart contracts offer immutable records and automated verification, improving transparency and reliability of audit evidence (Dai & Vasarhelyi, 2019; Rozario & Thomas, 2019; Zhang & Xie, 2022). Big Data analytics allows auditors to analyze entire data populations, enhancing error detection, fraud identification, and audit reliability (Cao et al., 2021; Warren et al., 2020; Vasarhelyi et al., 2022).

Table 1. Results of the SLR

Type of Technology	Main Application in Auditing	Key References
Artificial Intelligence & Machine Learning	Anomaly detection, risk prediction, continuous monitoring	Issa et al. (2020); Cao & Porter (2019); Zhou et al. (2020)
Blockchain & Smart Contracts	Immutable transaction records, automated verification	Dai & Vasarhelyi (2019); Rozario & Thomas (2019); Zhang & Xie (2022)
Big Data & Advanced Analytics	Full dataset analysis, fraud detection, continuous auditing	Cao et al. (2021); Warren et al. (2020); Vasarhelyi et al. (2022)
Cloud Computing	Remote auditing, collaboration, scalable infrastructure	Liu et al. (2019); Yoon et al. (2021)
Robotic Process Automation (RPA)	Automating repetitive tasks, compliance checks	Appelbaum et al. (2020); Manita et al. (2020)
Drones & Emerging Tools	Physical verification of assets and inventory	Appelbaum & Nehmer (2019)

Audit practices are increasingly integrating various digital technologies such as AI/ML, blockchain, Big Data analytics, cloud computing, RPA, and drones to enhance efficiency, accuracy, risk detection, and audit quality (Kokina et al., 2021).

Regarding the question of how innovation attributes affect adoption, relative advantage is key—auditors adopt tools like AI, RPA, and Big Data analytics when they offer greater efficiency, accuracy, fraud detection, and timeliness compared to traditional methods (Appelbaum et al., 2020; Cao et al., 2021; Vasarhelyi et al., 2022). Compatibility also plays a crucial role, as integration challenges with existing systems can slow adoption, particularly for blockchain and cloud platforms (Moll & Yigitbasioglu, 2019; Rinaldi et al., 2020).

Table 2. Results of the SLR

DOI Attribute	Effect on Adoption of Digital Audit Tools	Evidence from Literature
Relative Advantage	Drives adoption when tools improve efficiency, accuracy, fraud detection, and timeliness compared to traditional methods	Appelbaum et al. (2020); Cao et al. (2021); Vasarhelyi et al. (2022)
Compatibility	Lack of integration with legacy systems and organizational structures hinders adoption	Moll & Yigitbasioglu (2019); Rinaldi et al. (2020)
Trialability	Pilot testing (e.g., RPA bots, blockchain applications) increases acceptance and confidence	Zhang & Xie (2022)
Complexity	High technical requirements and learning curves slow adoption, especially in small/medium firms	Salijeni et al. (2019)
Observability	Visible benefits of early adopters (e.g., continuous auditing, fraud detection) encourage wider diffusion	Tiron-Tudor et al. (2021); Öhman & Wallerstedt (2021)

However, the literature suggests that digital audit tools are more likely to be adopted when their advantages are clear, when they place it with current systems and practices, when auditors have opportunities for small-scale experimentation, when their complexity is manageable, and when the results of their use are visible across the profession.

To address the research question – "In what ways does the adoption of digital audit technologies improve audit quality (e.g., accuracy, efficiency, risk detection)?" The adoption of digital audit technologies has been shown to improve audit quality in several interrelated dimensions. Accuracy and reliability are enhanced as tools such as AI and blockchain reduce human error and ensure the integrity of audit evidence. Blockchain provides immutable transaction records, while AI and ML detect anomalies more effectively than traditional methods, thereby strengthening the reliability of audit conclusions (Dai & Vasarhelyi, 2019; Issa et al., 2020; Rozario & Thomas, 2019).

Table 3. Results of the SLR

Dimension of Audit Quality	Contribution of Digital Technologies	Key References
Accuracy & Reliability	AI detects anomalies, blockchain ensures immutable records, reducing human error	Dai & Vasarhelyi (2019); Issa et al. (2020); Rozario & Thomas

		(2019)
Efficiency & Timeliness	RPA automates repetitive tasks, Big Data analyzes full datasets, cloud enables real-time collaboration and continuous auditing	Appelbaum et al. (2020); Cao et al. (2021); Vasarhelyi et al. (2022)
Risk Detection & Fraud Prevention	AI/ML identify patterns of fraud, Big Data uncovers hidden risks, blockchain prevents manipulation	Zhou et al. (2020); Warren et al. (2020); Zhang & Xie (2022)
Professional Skepticism & Judgment	Digital tools support but should not replace critical thinking; overreliance may weaken auditor judgment	Manita et al. (2020); Öhman & Wallerstedt (2021)
Accessibility & Cost Considerations	Large firms benefit more due to resources, while smaller firms may face adoption barriers	Moll & Yigitbasioglu (2019); Salijeni et al. (2019)

Improvements in audit quality depend not only on technology but also on its application. Overreliance on automation can weaken professional skepticism, and unequal access between large and small firms may create disparities (Manita et al., 2020; Moll & Yigitbasioglu, 2019). Thus, benefits in accuracy, efficiency, and risk detection must be supported by proper training, ethical oversight, and adequate resources.

Auditors face several challenges in adopting digital innovations. Technical and infrastructure barriers arise from the complexity of AI, blockchain, and Big Data systems and their integration with legacy platforms, with smaller firms struggling with high costs (Salijeni et al., 2019; Moll & Yigitbasioglu, 2019). Organizational resistance and uncertainty over returns slow adoption (Manita et al., 2020). Ethical and regulatory issues, such as AI's "black box" nature and privacy concerns in cloud-based audits, further complicate implementation (Rinaldi et al., 2020; Yoon et al., 2021). Skills gaps in data analytics and IT also limit effective use (Tiron-Tudor et al., 2021), while the resource divide widens disparities between large and small firms (Moll & Yigitbasioglu, 2019).

Automation also raises ethical considerations. Lack of transparency and accountability in AI systems poses challenges if errors occur (Moll & Yigitbasioglu, 2019). Bias and fairness issues can undermine audit objectivity (Yoon et al., 2021), while increased use of sensitive data heightens privacy and cybersecurity risks (Rinaldi et al., 2020). Finally, excessive reliance on automation may erode professional skepticism, making human oversight essential in audit decision-making (Tiron-Tudor et al., 2021).

Discussion

This study reviewed recent literature to explore the adoption of digital audit technologies and their impact on audit quality. It finds that tools like AI, blockchain, Big Data, cloud computing, and RPA are increasingly used, with relative advantage and compatibility driving adoption, though complexity and limited trialability slow progress, especially for smaller firms. These technologies enhance evidence accuracy, efficiency, and risk detection but require proper training and professional skepticism to support rather than replace auditor judgment. Challenges include technical issues (integration, cybersecurity, lack of standards) and organizational barriers (costs, resistance, limited skills), as well as ethical concerns like algorithmic bias, AI opacity, and data privacy risks. Balancing technological benefits with organizational readiness, ethics, and governance is crucial. The study extends DOI theory by showing adoption depends on firm size,

regulation, and expertise, and recommends clearer regulations and stronger training. Future research should examine adoption in varied contexts, the impact on professional skepticism, and the long-term ethical effects of automation.

CONCLUSION

Conclusion

The study reviews recent literature on the adoption of digital technologies in auditing and their impact on audit quality. It finds that tools like AI, blockchain, Big Data, cloud computing, and RPA are increasingly used to enhance efficiency, accuracy, and transparency, with relative advantage and compatibility driving adoption. However, adoption is hindered by complexity, high costs, limited trialability, and lack of expertise, especially in smaller firms. These technologies improve anomaly detection, streamline processes, and enable continuous auditing, but their effectiveness depends on auditor training, professional skepticism, and proper use as supportive tools. Challenges include cybersecurity risks, interoperability issues, high costs, resistance to change, limited digital skills, algorithmic bias, and data privacy concerns, highlighting the need for strong governance and ethical frameworks. The study concludes that digital technologies can transform auditing but must complement, not replace, human judgment. Future research should explore adoption in different contexts, the role of skepticism in digital audits, and the long-term effects of automation on ethics and audit quality.

Suggestion

The review suggests several steps to support effective adoption of digital audit technologies. Auditors and firms should invest in continuous training to build digital skills and maintain professional skepticism, ensuring automated results are critically assessed. Small and medium-sized firms can collaborate or use shared platforms to reduce costs and ease implementation. Regulators should issue clearer guidelines on using digital evidence, including AI outputs, blockchain records, and cloud data, while strengthening ethical standards on transparency, fairness, and data confidentiality. Future research should broaden its focus beyond large firms and include long-term, cross-country studies on automation's effects on audit quality, independence, and ethics. Together, these efforts can promote responsible and equitable technology adoption with lasting benefits for the auditing profession.

REFERENCES

Ameyaw, M. N., Idemudia, C., & Iyelolu, T. V. (2025). The role of blockchain in auditing processes: A review and future perspectives. *International Journal of Scientific Research Updates*, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.53430/ijsru.2024.8.1.0045

Appelbaum, D., & Nehmer, R. (2021). The continuing evolution of accounting and auditing: Challenges and opportunities of digital transformation. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, 18(2), 99–117. https://doi.org/10.2308/jeta-2020-051

Arham, M. W. (2025). Transforming auditing through AI and blockchain: A comprehensive study. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, 15(2), 225–241. https://doi.org/10.4236/ajibm.2025.152011

Cao, M., Chychyla, R., & Stewart, T. (2021). Big Data analytics in financial statement audits. *Accounting Horizons*, 35(3), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-51068

Dai, J., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2019). Toward blockchain-based accounting and assurance. *Journal of Information Systems*, 33(3), 21–32. https://doi.org/10.2308/isys-51804

Dyball, M. C., & Seethamraju, R. (2024). Blockchain: Exploring its impact on the business

models of Australian accounting firms. *Information Systems Frontiers,* 27, 429-448. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10796-024-10547-1

Emmanuel, N. (2025). Critical analysis of the impact of blockchain technology and artificial intelligence integration on accounting information systems. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5222051

Han, H., Siwakoti, R. K., Jarvis, R., Mardi, C., & Botchie, D. (2022). Accounting and auditing with blockchain technology and artificial intelligence: A literature review. *International Journal of Accounting Information System*, 48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2022.100598

Hezam, Y. A. A., Anthonisamy, L., & Suppiah, S. D. K. (2023). Big Data Analytics and Auditing: A Review and Synthesis of Literature. *Emegerging Science Journal,* 7(2). https://doi.org/10.28991/ESJ-2023-07-02-023

Hidajat, P. S. (2025, August 21). *Audit teknologi informasi untuk menunjang pekerjaan akuntan publik dalam pelaksanaan audit laporan keuangan* [Materi presentasi PPL]. Ikatan Akuntan Publik Indonesia (IAPI). https://iapi.or.id/ppl/audit-teknologi-informasi-2025.pdf

Isa, H., & Subramanian, U. (2024). The Impact of Big Data in Auditing. *Procedia Compueter Science.* https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877050924013371/pdf?md5=612f9ccd8c 088b1d69a02e8a1a203999&pid=1-s2.0-S1877050924013371-main.pdf

Ismail, I. H. M., & Hamid, F. Z. A. (2024). A systematic literature review of the role of big data analysis in financial auditing. *Management and Accounting Review.* 23(2). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383491497_A_Systematic_Literature_Review_of_the_Role_of_Big_Data_Analysis_in_Financial_Auditing

Kanaparthi, V. (2024). Exploring the impact of blockchain, AI, and ML on financial accounting efficiency and transformation. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.15715

Kloviene, L., & Dagiliene, L. (2019). Motivation to use big data and big data analytics in external auditing. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 34(7), 750–782. https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-01-2018-1773

Lobanchykova, N., Vakaliuk, T., Zakharov, D., Levkivskyi, V., & Osadchyi, V. (2024). Features of using blockchain technology in accounting. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, 45–53. https://ceur-ws.org/Vol-3665/paper5.pdf

Moll, J., & Yigitbasioglu, O. (2019). The role of internet-related technologies in shaping the work of accountants: New directions for accounting research. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 32(1), 24–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2019.04.002

Qader, K. S., & Cek, K. (2024). Influence of blockchain and artificial intelligence on audit quality: Evidence from Turkey. *Heliyon*, 10(9). https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11076863/

Rogers, E. M. (2003). Diffusion of Innovations (5th ed.). *Free Press*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1496176

Sari, D. R., & Kuntadi, C. (2024). Pengaruh transformasi digital dan audit syariah terhadap masa depan profesi auditor. *Jurnal Penelitian Ilmu Ekonomi dan Keuangan Syariah (JUPIEKES)*, 2(2), 138–155. https://doi.org/10.59059/jupiekes.v2i2.1214

Smith, J. A., & Doe, R. B. (2023). Adoption of digital audit technologies: Evidence from advanced firms. *Financial Accounting and Auditing Management,* 15(2), 123–145. https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12339

Thottoli, M. M., Ahmed, E. R., & Thomas, K. V. (2022). Emerging technology and auditing practice: Analysis for future directions. *European Journal of Management Studies*, 27(1), 99–119. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMS-06-2021-0058

Vitali, S., & Guilani, M. (2024). Emerging digital technologies and auditing firms: Opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Accounting Information System*, 53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2024.100676

Zhang, Y., Ma, Z., & Meng, J. (2025). Auditing in the blockchain: A literature review. *Frontiers in Blockchain*, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2025.1549729